

Locally Listed Heritage Assets Supplementary Planning Document

Strategic Environmental Assessment (SEA)

Screening Statement - Determination under Regulation 9 of the Environmental Assessment of Plans and Programmes Regulations 2004.

Habitats Regulations Assessment (HRA)

Screening Statement – Determination under Regulation 105 of the Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations 2017.

October 2019



1 Introduction

1.1 This statement sets out the Council's determination under Regulation 9 (1) of the Environmental Assessment of Plans and Programmes Regulations 2004 on whether or not a Strategic Environmental Assessment is required for the Locally Listed Heritage Assets Supplementary Planning Document (SPD). This statement also sets out the Council's determination as to whether Appropriate Assessment is required under Regulation 105 of the Conservation of Habitats & Species Regulations 2017.

Strategic Environmental Assessment

- 1.2 Under the requirements of the European Union Directive 2001/42/EC (Strategic Environmental Assessment (SEA) Directive)) and Environmental Assessment of Plans and Programmes Regulations (2004) specific types of plans that set out the framework for future development consent of projects must be subject to an environmental assessment.
- 1.3 There are exceptions to this requirement for plans that determine the use of a small area at a local level and for minor modifications if it has been determined that the plan is unlikely to have significant environmental effects.
- 1.4 In accordance with the provisions of the SEA Directive and the Environmental Assessment of Plans and Programmes Regulations (2004)(Regulation 9(1)), the Council must determine if a plan requires an environmental assessment. Where the Council determines that SEA is not required then under Regulation 9(3) the Council must prepare a statement setting out the reasons for this determination. The need for SEA is considered under Section 3 of this report.

Sustainability Appraisal

- 1.5 Under separate legislation (the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004 and associated Regulations), the Council is required to carry out a Sustainability Appraisal (SA) for all Development Plan Documents. This considers the social and economic impacts of a plan as well as the environmental impacts.
- 1.6 In accordance with current Regulations (Town & Country Planning (Local Development)(England)(Amendment) Regulations 2012) SA is not required to be carried out for an SPD. However, despite this, it is still necessary to determine the need for SEA.

Habitats Regulations Assessment

1.7 Habitats Regulations Assessment is required to determine whether a plan or project would have significant adverse effects upon the integrity of internationally designated sites of nature conservation importance, or Natura 2000 sites. The need for HRA is set out within the EC Habitats Directive 92/43/EC and transposed into British Law by Regulation 105 of the Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations 2017. In accordance with Article 6 of the Habitats Directive (92/43/EEC) and Regulation 105 of the Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations 2017, the Borough Council must determine if a plan requires

Appropriate Assessment. Section 4 of this report deals with the need for Habitats Regulation Assessment.

2 Scope of the Locally Listed Heritage Assets SPD

- 2.1 The scope of the Locally Listed Heritage Assets SPD is to provide further guidance to support the implementation of the Heritage Policies of the Rushmoor Local Plan (2019).
- 2.2 A core purpose of this SPD is to identify the relevant conservation principles that apply to the 160 buildings designated as Buildings of Local Importance.
- 2.3 The SPD will be used by Rushmoor Borough Council in assessing planning applications that impact buildings or structures on the Local List and elaborates upon Local Plan Policies HE1: Heritage and HE2: Demolition of a Heritage Asset of the Rushmoor Local Plan.

3 Strategic Environmental Assessment

The SEA Screening Process

- 3.1 The process for determining whether or not an SEA is required is called screening. In order to screen, it is necessary to determine if a plan will have significant environmental effects using the criteria set out in Annex II of the Directive and Schedule I of the Regulations. A determination cannot be made until the three statutory consultation bodies have been consulted: The Environment Agency, Natural England and English Heritage.
- 3.2 Within 28 days of making its determination the authority must publish a statement such as this one, setting out its decision. If it determines that an SEA is not required, the statement must include the reasons for this.

SEA Determination and Reasons for Determination

3.3 Before making a determination under Regulation 9 the three statutory bodies were consulted between 17 October 2019 and 8 November 2019. The responses received are as set out in Table 1 below.

Consultation Body	Comments
Natural England	Natural England agrees with the conclusions of the council's screening statement report. Therefore, a full Strategic Environmental Assessment and Habitats Regulation Assessment is not required for this supplementary planning document.
Environment Agency	No response received

Table 1 – Comments received by Consultation bodies

Historic England	Our view is that a SEA is not required in this instance for the reason
	set out in paragraph 3.5 of the Screening Determination.

Table 2 – SEA Screening for the Locally Listed Heritage Assets SPD

Criteria (from Annex II) of SEA Directive and Schedule I of Regulations)	Rushmoor Borough Council's Response				
Characteristics of the plan or programme					
a) The degree to which the plan or programme sets a framework for projects and other activities, either with regards to the location, nature, size and operating conditions or by allocating resources.	The framework is set by higher level policies in the Rushmoor Local Plan (Policies HE1: Heritage and HE2: Demolition of a Heritage Asset. The SPD will provide further guidance to support the implementation of the Heritage policies of the Rushmoor Local Plan. The SPD will not set the framework for the allocation or levels of development within the Borough over the Local Plan period or set the framework for individual projects.				
b) The degree to which the plan or programme influences other plans and programmes including those in a hierarchy.	The SPD is an implementation tool for delivering already adopted development plan policies at a higher tier which have already been subject to SA/SEA.				
c) The relevance of the plan or programme for the integration of environmental considerations, in particular with a view to promoting sustainable development.	Some relevance. Whilst the SPD sets out guidance on the design of development, it does so with respect to conservation principles.				
d) Environmental problems relevant to the plan or programme.	The SPD will have no impact on environmental issues.				
e) The relevance of the plan or programme for the implementation of Community (EU) legislation on the environment (for example plans and programmes linked to waste management or water protection).	No relevance.				
Characteristics of the effects and of the area like	ly to be affected				
a) The probability, duration, frequency and reversibility of the effects.	The SPD will not in itself set out or bring forward development plans or projects. It will solely set out guidance for schemes that require the alteration, extension or demolition of Locally Listed Heritage Assets.				
b) The cumulative nature of the effects	The SPD will help to ensure the conservation of Locally Listed Heritage Assets.				
c) The transboundary nature of the effects	Given the nature of the SPD and being local to Rushmoor, it is considered that no transboundary effects will arise.				

d) The risks to human health or the	The SPD presents no risks to human health or
environment (for example, due to accidents)	the environment.
e) The magnitude and spatial extent of the	The SPD will cover the whole of the geographic
effects (geographical area and size of the	area of Rushmoor.
population likely to be affected)	
f) The value and vulnerability of the area likely	Given the nature of the document:
to be affected due to:	
i) Special natural characteristics or cultural	i) None;
heritage;	ii) None;
ii) Exceeding environmental quality standards	iii) None.
or limit values;	
ii) Intensive land-use	
g) The effects on areas or landscapes which	No effect.
have recognised national, community or	
international protection status.	

Other Considerations

- 3.4 In reviewing these criteria and coming to a conclusion, the Council has also had regard to the following:
 - The SPD does not present new policies or development proposals but seeks to support and elaborate on a recently adopted development plan policy.

SEA Conclusion

- 3.5 Having regard to the considerations above, the Council considers that the Locally Listed Heritage Assets SPD is unlikely to have any significant environmental effects and therefore does not require a Strategic Environmental Assessment.
- 3.6 This determination was made on **17 October 2019**.

4 Habitat Regulations Assessment Screening Statement

- 4.1 This part of the report seeks to determine whether the Council's policies and proposals set out in the Locally Listed Heritage Assets SPD will have any significant impacts on nearby Natura 2000 sites.
- 4.2 This SPD will support policies in the recently adopted Rushmoor Local Plan. This was subject to a Habitats Regulation Assessment (June 2017) which was prepared in consultation with Natural England. The purpose of HRA is to assess the impacts of plans against the conservation objectives of a European site. The assessment must determine whether the plan would adversely affect the integrity of the site in terms of its conservation objectives. Where adverse effects are identified these effects should be mitigated and other options should be explored.

- 4.3 The Appropriate Assessment stage of HRA is only required should the preliminary screening assessment not be able to rule out likely significant effect.
- 4.4 The Directive states that any plan or project not connected to or necessary for a sites management, but likely to have significant effect thereon shall be subject to appropriate assessment. There are four distinct stages in HRA namely:

Step 1: Screening – Identification of likely impacts on a European site either alone or in combination with other plans/projects and consideration of whether these are significant. This can include the consideration of avoidance measures.

Step 2: Appropriate Assessment – consideration of the impact on the integrity of the European Site whether alone or in combination with other plans or projects with respect to the sites structure, function and conservation objectives. Where there are significant effects, step 2 should consider potential mitigation measures.

Step 3: Assessment of Alternative Solutions – Assessing alternative ways of achieving the objectives of the plan/project which avoid impacts; and

Step 4: Assessment of Compensatory Measures – Identification of compensatory measures should impact not be avoided and no alternative solutions exist and an assessment of imperative reasons of overriding public interest (IROPI) deems that a project should proceed.

4.5 Should screening (step 1) reveal that significant effects are likely or effect cannot be discounted because of uncertainty, then it is necessary to move onto step 2: Appropriate Assessment. If step 2 cannot rule out significant effect even with mitigation, then the process moves onto step 3 and finally step 4 if no alternative solutions arise.

Step 1 - Screening

4.6 There are four stages to consider in a screening exercise: -

Stage 1: Determining whether the plan/project is directly connected with or necessary to the management of the site;

Stage 2: Describing the plan/project and description of other plan/projects that have the potential for in-combination impacts;

Stage 3: Identifying potential effects on the European site(s); and

Stage 4: Assessing the significance of any effects

Stage 1

4.7 It can be determined that the Locally Listed Heritage Assets SPD is not directly connected with or necessary to the management of any designated sites of relevance.

Stages 2 to 4

4.8 Information about the scope of the SPD can be found in Section 2 of this document. The following tables identify European sites assessed through the Rushmoor Local Plan HRA process as having the potential to have some likely significant effect and identifies the significance of possible effects from the SPD. The SPD policies will supplement and support Local Plan policies (already subject to a full HRA) and will not identify any new policies, levels of development or development distribution.

Table 3: Significant Effects Matrix for the Locally Listed Heritage Assets SPD

Site	Кеу	Possible impacts	Possible impacts	Is there a
description/Qual	Environmental	arising from the	from other	significant risk of
ifying Features	Conditions to	SPD	plans, trends etc.	'in combination'
	support site			effects arising
	integrity			from the SPD
Thames Basin Hea	ths Special Protection	on Area (SPA)		
The TBHSPA	Appropriate	No associated	Potential	Not specifically
received full SPA	management	impact arising.	cumulative	arising from the
status on 9			effects from	SPD.
March 2005. It	Management of		Local Plan on	
covers an area of	disturbance		Urbanisation,	In combination
8,400 ha,	during breeding		Recreational	effects have
consisting of 13	season (March to		Pressure and	been subject to
Sites of Special	July)		Atmospheric	an appropriate
Scientific Interest			pollution were	assessment as
(SSSI) across	Minimal air		identified and	part of the HRA
Surrey,	pollution		appropriate	for the adopted
Hampshire and			mitigation	Rushmoor Local
Berkshire. The	Absence or		provided.	Plan.
habitat consists	control of			
of both dry and	urbanisation			
wet heathland,	effects, such as			
but also includes	fires and			
areas of	introduction of			
deciduous	invasive non-			
woodland, gorse	native species			
scrub, acid				
grassland and	Maintenance of			
mire, as well as	appropriate			
associated	water levels			
conifer	Maintonance of			
plantations.	Maintenance of			
	water quality			
The SPA is of				
international				

Site description/Qual ifying Features	Key Environmental Conditions to support site integrity	Possible impacts arising from the SPD	Possible impacts from other plans, trends etc.	Is there a significant risk of 'in combination' effects arising from the SPD
importance for its heathland birds: nightjar (Caprimulgus europaeus), woodlark (Lullula arborea) and Dartford Warbler (Sylvia undata). It is of European importance because the site qualifies under Article 4.1 of the Birds Directive (79/409/EEC0 as it is used by 1% or more of the Great Britain population of a species listed in Annex 1.				
Thursley, Ash, Pirb	oright and Chobham	SAC		
The Thursley, Ash, Pirbright & Cobham Common SAC was designated in April 2005. It consists of 4 SSSI's 3 of which also form part of the TBHSPA. The qualifying Annex 1 habitats are: Wet heathland with cross leaved heath Dry heaths	Appropriate management Managed recreational pressure Minimal Air pollution Absence or control of urbanisation effects, such as fires and introduction of invasive non- native species Maintenance of	No associated impact arising.	Potential cumulative effects from Local Plan on Urbanisation, Recreational Pressure and Atmospheric pollution were identified and appropriate mitigation provided.	Not specifically arising from the SPD. In combination effects have been subject to an appropriate assessment as part of the HRA for the adopted Rushmoor Local Plan.

Site description/Qual ifying Features	Key Environmental Conditions to support site integrity	Possible impacts arising from the SPD	Possible impacts from other plans, trends etc.	Is there a significant risk of 'in combination' effects arising from the SPD
Depressions on peat substrates.	appropriate water levels Maintenance of water quality			
Thursley, Hankley	and Frensham Com	mons (Wealden Hea	aths Phase I) SPA	
The SPA is of international importance for its heathland birds: nightjar (Caprimulgus europaeus), woodlark (Lullula arborea) and Dartford Warbler (Sylvia undata). It is of European importance because the site qualifies under Article 4.1 of the Birds Directive (79/409/EEC0 as it is used by 1% or more of the Great Britain population of a species listed in Annex 1.	Appropriate management Management of disturbance during breeding season (March to July) Minimal air pollution Absence or control of urbanisation effects, such as fires and introduction of invasive non- native species Maintenance of appropriate water levels Maintenance of water quality	No associated impact arising.	Potential cumulative effects from the Local Plan on recreational disturbance and atmospheric pollution were identified and appropriate mitigation provided.	Not specifically arising from the SPD. In combination effects have been subject to an appropriate assessment as part of the HRA for the adopted Rushmoor Local Plan.

Site	Kov	Dossible imposts	Possible impacts	Is there a
description/Qual	Key Environmental	Possible impacts arising from the	from other	significant risk of
ifying Features	Conditions to	SPD	plans, trends etc.	'in combination'
inying reatures	support site	350	plans, trenus etc.	effects arising
	integrity			from the SPD
Thursley & Ockley				nom the SPD
Thursley and	Appropriate	No associated	Possible	Not specifically
Ockley Bogs is a	management	impact arising.	potential	arising from the
valley mire			cumulative	SPD.
complex that lies	Managed		effects from the	
within the	recreational		Local Plan on	In combination
Thursley,	pressure		recreational	effects have
Hankley and			pressure and	been subject to
Frensham	Minimal air		water	an appropriate
Commons SSSI.	pollution		abstraction were	assessment as
			identified.	part of the HRA
It qualifies under	Absence or			for the adopted
the Ramsar site	control of		It was concluded	Rushmoor Local
criteria as it	urbanisation		that the Local	Plan.
supports a	effects, such as		Plan contains an	
community of	fires and		adequate policy	
rare wetland	introduction of		framework to	
invertebrate	invasive non-		mitigate the	
species including	native species		adverse impacts.	
notable numbers				
of dragonflies,	Maintenance of			
and it is one of	appropriate			
the few sites in	water levels			
Britain to				
support all six	Maintenance of			
native reptile	water quality			
species.				
Wealden Heaths P	hase II SPA		1	
The SPA is of	Appropriate	No associated	Possible	Not specifically
international	management	impact arising	potential	arising from the
importance for			cumulative	SPD.
its heathland	Management of		effects from the	
birds: nightjar	disturbance		Local Plan on	In combination
(Caprimulgus	during breeding		recreational	effects have
europaeus),	season (March to		pressure and	been subject to
woodlark (Lullula	July)		atmospheric	an appropriate
arborea) and			pollution were	assessment as
Dartford Warbler	Minimal air		identified.	part of the HRA
(Sylvia undata).	pollution			for the adopted
			It was concluded	Rushmoor Local
It is of European	Absence or		that the Local	Plan.
importance	control of		Plan will not lead	
because the site	urbanisation		to adverse	

Site description/Qual ifying Features	Key Environmental Conditions to support site integrity	Possible impacts arising from the SPD	Possible impacts from other plans, trends etc.	Is there a significant risk of 'in combination' effects arising from the SPD
qualifies under	effects, such as		effects on the	
Article 4.1 of the	fires and		SPA.	
Birds Directive	introduction of			
(79/409/EEC0 as	invasive non-			
it is used by 1% or more of the	native species			
Great Britain	Maintenance of			
population of a	appropriate			
species listed in	water levels			
Annex 1.				
	Maintenance of			
	water quality			

- 4.9 The SPD is also considered to have no significant effect on the following sites as they were all assessed as part of the Local Plan Habitats Regulations Assessment 2017 and considered to be too far from the Borough for there to be any likelihood of recreational impact:
 - East Hampshire Hangars SAC
 - Shortheath Common SAC
 - Windsor Forest and Great Park SAC

HRA Screening Conclusion

- 4.10 On the basis of the above and having regard to the scope of the SPD and the considerations set out in paragraph 3.4 of this report the Council considers that the Locally Listed Heritage Assets SPD will not have a significant adverse effect on any Natura 2000 sites and that a full appropriate assessment is therefore not required. The SPD will support the delivery of Rushmoor Local Plan (specifically Policies HE1: Heritage and HE2: Demolition of a Heritage Asset), which has been subject to a full Assessment, including any in-combination effects with other plans.
- 4.11 This determination was made on **17 October 2019**.